

7DSM PROJECTS SDN BHD Since 2013

3 Lintang Kurau 5 Taman Chai Leng 13600 Perai , Pulau Pinang , Malaysia Tel : 604-3998577 / 016 4455789 Contact Person : Ms Khim

OUR SERVICES





OUR SERVICES TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS



Tower Preventative Maintenance Foundation Mapping Tower Strengthening Using CFRP



Carbon Fibre Composites Monopoles Supply Smart Pole Supply



ICES TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS

Tower Preventative Maintenance





- a. To clear vegetation and be able to access within the compound
- b. Standard data sheet for checklist to record tower details
- c. Theodolite to check verticality for guyed mast
- d. Guy wire tension check. Loose guy wires will be tightened with <u>additional cost</u>.
- e. <u>Torque testing</u> & if 10% bolts fails torque test, require to do 100%.
- f. Aircraft warning light inspection only no testing on functionality
- g. Lighting protection system inspection only no testing on functionality









	TORQUE/	BOLTS GRADE	(N.m)	SAMPLE 1	SAMPLE 2	
BOLT SIZE				N.m	N.m	REMAR
	5.6	6.8	8.8			
M12	35-40	70-75	85-90			
M14	60-65	110-115	140-145			
M16	90-95	175-180	205-215			
M20	175-180	375-380	425-435			
M24	300-310	590-595	720-730			
M30	615-620	1200-1205	1410-1420			













Reporting will be carried out based on risk assessment which formed using matrix of

- a. Tower Loading
- b. Tower Condition
- c. Business Risk

RAG Reporting to be used in TPM Reporting									
RAG Reporting	Significance	Observations from Audit reporting	Recommended Rectification Timeline						
Red Urgent	Critical	Any other findings which refers tower at high risk and it could collapse at anytime. Such as: Strengthening or replacement required for Tower Leg, Guy Wire etc.	Immediately						
Red	Major	Any findings which refers tower at high risk and the stability & safety in danger. Such as: Strengthening or replacement required for Tower member, bracing, guy wire shackle & other guy wire accessories. Severe corrosion for leg/guy wire & it's accessories. Major crack found in tower foundation/guy anchor. Major verticality error & tower twist found etc.	Urgently within 3 months from notification						
Amber	Minor	Any findings which refers tower at risk and if not rectified soon tower might become risky. Such as: Strengthening or replacement required for tower bolt, missing bolt, cranked/twisted member, improper hole, member tempered, severely corroded bolts/member. Over grown trees/vegetation to guy wire, mark founds for guy wire & anchor goes under water, minor twist & verticality error found for tower etc.	Within 3 to 6 months period						
Green	None	Any findings which refers tower not in risk and if not rectified in gradually tower health will deteriorate in long run. Such as: Mild corrosion, minor rectification for tower health improvement, painting required.	Within 6 to 12 months period						

TPM SITE AUDIT REPORTS

Contents

1.	Introduction	
2.	Site Details	
3.	Design Criteria	
4.	Capacity and Condition Statement	
5.	Table of Member Sizes, Bolts and Grades	
6.	Equipment and Ancillary Loadings	6
7.	Structure Line Diagram	7
8.	Equipment Loading Diagram – Existing LOADING	
9.	Summary of Analysis – Existing LOADING	9
10.	Reaction Summary – Existing LOADING	
11.	Conclusion	
12.	Site Observations for Rectifications, Notes and Assumptions	13

Appendix A Tower and Compound Drawings

Appendix B Results Summary



6. Equipment and Ancillary Loadings

Existing Equipment table						Site Au	dit Date:	05/09/2017			
Equipment Type	No.	L	Dimens W	ions [m] D	dia	FPA [m ²]	EPA [m ²]	Weight [kg]	Leg	Angle (deg)	Height (m)
Panel Antenna	1	2.6	0.26	0.09		0.67	1.33	20	A	60	82.50
Panel Antenna	3	2.6	0.26	0.09		2.00	3.99	60	A/B/C	0/180/300	82.50
Panel Antenna	3	1.4	0.17	0.08		0.70	1.40	21	A/B/C	0/180/260	53.80
MW Dish A07S18HD	1				1.80	2.54	3.21	127	В	210	77.00
MW Dish	1				2.40	4.52	5.70	172	В	210	74.00
MW Dish A07S18HAC	1				1.80	2.54	3.21	98	A	20	72.50
MW Dish A07S18HAC	1				1.80	2.54	3.21	98	A	20	65.00
RRU	3	0.4	0.30	0.20		0.36	0.72	63	A/B/C	0/180/300	82.20
RRU	3	0.4	0.30	0.20		0.36	0.72	63	A/B/C	0/180/300	81.50
RRU	3	0.4	0.30	0.20		0.36	0.72	63	A/B/C	0/180/260	52.50
					Total	16.60	24.20	785.0			

* Heights of equipment are measured to the base of the antenna's and the mid-point of the dishes* * Additional equipment marked as **bold** text

Note: Feeders: 2no. 7/8" dia. per Panel Antenna (up to 76m), 2no. 1 5/8" dia. per Panel Antenna (above 76m), 1no. 1/4"mm dia. per MW Dish and other antennas. FPA – Flat Panel Aree acliculated as 1 x W for panels; n x 0/4 for Dishes.

EPA – FIAL Function and Calculated as $L \times W$ for panels, $R \times D^{-}/4$ for Disnes. EPA – FPA x Cd. Cd = 2.0 for Panels; Cd = 1.26 for Disnes



TPM SITE AUDIT REPORTS

5. Table of Member Sizes, Bolts and Grades

Plan Bracing and Anti-Twist Frames

egs, Bracin ections are	g & Horizontals from No. 1 at the bot	ttom of the str	ructure upwards [re	efer to diagram	n]:		Section	Plan Bracing Type / Size	Plan Bracing Bolts	Frame (Diagonal) Type / Size	Frame (Diagonal) Bolts	Frame (Horizontal) Type / Size	Frame (Horizontal) Bolts
Section	Leg Type / Size	Leg	Bracing Type	Bracing	Horizontal	Horizont	1						
occuon	cos ()pe / one	Bolts	/ Size	Bolts	Type / Size	Bolts	2						
1	CHS76.1x4.5	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	FLAT150x15	n/a	3						
2	CHS76.1x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	4						
3	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	5						
4	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	6						
5	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	7		Guy Wire	s	-		
6	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	8						
7	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	9		Level	Height [m]	Wire Ty	pe -	
8	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	10		1	19	3 no. 8m	im –	
9	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	11		2	37	3 no 8m		
10	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	12	<u>.</u>	2	57	5110, 01111		
11	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	12		5	52	6 no. 8mm		
12	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	14		4	70	6 no. 8mm –		
13	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	14		5	82	6 no. 13mm -		
14	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	15				1		
15	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	10						
16	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	17	2	-		-		
17	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	18			EA65x65x10	2 M16	PFC125x65x15	2 M16
18	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	19						
19	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	20						
20	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	21						
21	CH\$76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	22						
22	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	23						
23	CHS76.1x4.0	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	24			EA65x65x10	2 M16	PFC125x65x15	2 M16
24	CHS60.3x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	25						
25	CHS60.3x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	26		-				
26	CHS60.3x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	27				1		
27	CHS60.3x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	28		-	E465x65x10	2 M16	PEC125x65x15	2 M16
28	CHS60.3x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	20		-	CHOSKOSKIU	2 11120	TTCIESKOSKIS	2 11120
29	CHS60.3x4.5	4 M12	ROD19.0	n/a	ROD19.0	n/a	23	and a shine the second of		-			L

8. Equipment Loading Diagram - Existing LOADING

DSA

(DS) - denotes double shear bolts

(*) - denotes double EAs not adequately connected

Steel grade for all EA120x120 members and greater assumed as grade 325, all others assumed as grade 235 Bolt grade of bolts as per section 3 unless stated otherwise in the table above

n/a (DS) – denotes double shear bolts

(*) - denotes double EAs not adequately connected

Steel grade for all EA120x120 members and greater assumed as grade 325, all others assumed as grade 235 Bolt grade of bolts as per section 3 unless stated otherwise in the table above

TPM SITE AUDIT REPORTS

9, Summary of Analysis - Existing LOADING

verall Mem	ber Capacities	6			Stress %	Status
Aaximum Le	g Stress Ratio	201%	FAIL			
Aaximum Bra	acing Stress Ra	273%	FAIL			
Aaximum Gu	wire Stress	Ratio			174%	FAIL
verall Bolt (Capacities					
Aaximum Le	g Bolt Stress R	atio			95%	PASS
Maximum Bra	acing Bolt Stre	ss Ratio			86%	PASS
Section	Leg	Leg Bolts	Bracing	Bracing Bolts	Horizontal	Horizontal Bolts
1	115%	0%	79%		29%	
2	104%	0%	80%		17%	
3	118%	0%	80%	_	17%	
4	124%	0%	89%		20%	
5	139%	0%	100%		22%	
6	158%	0%	108%		23%	
7	180%	0%	115%		29%	
8	181%	0%	92%		18%	
9	175%	0%	84%		16%	
10	170%	0%	74%		15%	
11	170%	0%	80%		18%	
12	174%	0%	98%		22%	
13	181%	0%	113%		35%	
14	179%	0%	143%		29%	
15	146%	0%	131%		25%	
16	119%	0%	115%	-	22%	
17	107%	0%	98%		19%	
18	107%	0%	94%	45%	65%	
19	96%	0%	273%	-	94%	
20	121%	29%	223%		77%	
21	152%	65%	196%		61%	
22	174%	87%	164%		46%	
23	179%	92%	129%		25%	
24	201%	87%	121%	64%	39%	
25	169%	94%	194%		50%	<u> </u>
26	171%	95%	135%		46%	
27	150%	72%	179%		122%	10, Reaction
28	112%	22%	185%	86%	90%	
20	10%	1 404	11110		104	Maximum Reaction

Location

Mast foundation

1st Stay Block

2nd Stay Block

3rd Stay Block

Guy Wire Capacities

Stay Level	Stress Ratio
1	105%
2	140%
3	174%
4	162%
5	113%



OUR SERVICES TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS



Foundation Mapping



FOUNDATION MAPPING



TPM analysis report showed some tower as highly overstressed in leg members with their actual loading condition.

Enviromental issues- flooding

Design issues – tower foundation designed to wrong Exposure & Windspeed

Commercial issues - Additional EPA required on some towers \rightarrow Increase in tower weight and resultant forces at times

Hence, existing foundation capacity needs to be checked



Steps of Foundation Audit

1. <u>Reviewing the Existing Conditions</u>

- Original Tower Foundation Design
- Original Soil Investigation Report

2. Obtaining Information from the Site

- Check existing foundation use Ground Penetrating Radar/ to carry out excavation if possible.
- Foundation System Used Isolated / Raft / Pile
- Evidence of Settlement Cracks or visible Distress
- Instrusive & Non-Destructive Tests
 - Schmidt Rebound Hammer (Concrete Strength)
 - Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Test (Concrete Quality
 - Core Tests (Concrete quality and Strength)
 - Rebar Scanner (rebar quantity)
 - Pile integrity test (for pile length)





Steps of Foundation Audit 3. <u>Assessment based on findings from site</u>

- Initial Calculations
- Identification of potential weakness of foundation (if any)
- Preliminary Analysis Report will contain the analysis and recommendation of consultant about Strengthening.

4. <u>Strengthening Methodology</u>

- Underpinning
- Foundation enlargement
- Concrete column jacketing
- Carbon fibre wrap







CONCRETE COLUMN JACKETING







ENLARGING EXISTING FOUNDATION





Excavating around the footing.





hardening the surface and installing the dowels.



Photo 15. Installing the main steel.



Photo 16. Completing the jacket.

UNDERPINNING FOUNDATION







CARBON FIBRE REINFORCED POLYMER









OUR SERVICES



TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS





PIONEER IN WORLD TO USE CFRP FOR STRENGTHENING WORKS







PIONEER IN WORLD TO USE CFRP FOR STRENGTHENING WORKS



DSM

OUR SERVICES TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS





CARBON FIBRE COMPOSITES MONOPOLE SUPPLY





- a. Lower Installation Cost (20 30 % difference)
- b. Less Weight Compared to Steel (80% lighter compared to equivalent)
- c. Less Environmental Effect (recyclable materials)
- d. Non Corrosive



MODULAR SECTION

Variable height between 9m to 30m (depending on MNO)

Windspeed designed to 50 m/s

EPA 10-20 sq.m (depends on MNO)

40°2	<u>© 0.159</u>	Ë	DSM
	¢ ^{0.665}		









OUR SERVICES TELECOMMUNICATION WORKS



SMART POLE SUPPLY



20m SINGLE ARM SMART POLE

- a. FOR SMART CITY USAGE
- b. Designed based on TIA -222G
- c. 3sec Gust windspeed 120km/hr Topography Cat 1 ; Terrain Cat 3
- d. 2.5 sq.m spread within top 5m for Class 02
- e. 5.0 sq.m EPA for 6.0m level for Advertisement Panel
- f. Cabling and Light arm (by others)













CONSTRUCTION WORKS





























